

**THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

MINUTES

Wednesday, October 29, 2003

Department: 503

LAURENCE TAIN, D.C; DONALD NIELSEN, D.C.;
ROBERT BITTERS, D.C.; STEPHANIE
WATTENBERG, D.C., and LORI PRESCOTT, D.C.
Plaintiff(s)

vs.

STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS;
CALIFORNIA ACUPUNCTURE BOARD; THE
COUNCIL ON CHIROPRACTIC EDUCATION, INC.
Defendant(s)

Case Number: CGC-03-419-378

Case Management Conference, Demurrers, Judicial
Notice, and Pro Hac Vice Application

Nature of Cause: Declaratory Relief

Present:

Judge: Charlene Padovani Mitchell
Reporter: Deborah Neville

Clerk: Linda Espy
Bailiff: not present

Appearing for Plaintiff(s):
David Prescott, Esquire
Veritas Justice & Bioethics Institute
22365 El Toro Road, Suite 109
Lake Forrest, CA 92630
714-649-0661

Appearing for Defendant(s):
For State Board of Chiropractic Examiners :
Fred A. Slimp
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice, State of California
Attorney General's Office
1515 Clay Street
P.O.Box 70550

For Defendant Council on Chiropractic Education, Inc.:
Michael Schroeder, Esquire (**not present**)
1851 – East 1st Street, Suite 1160
Santa Ana, CA 92705

Oakland, CA 94612-0550
510-622-2117

AND
For California Acupuncture Board:
Jose R. Guerrero
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice, State of California
Attorney General's Office
1515 Clay Street, 21st Floor
Oakland, CA 94612-0550
510-622-2219

This cause came on regularly this day for a case management conference, Defendants State Board of Chiropractic Examiners and California Acupuncture Board demurrers to 2nd amended complaint, Defendant California Acupuncture Board's motion requesting judicial notice, and Plaintiffs' application for admission pro hac vice of Edwin Grauke. The Court and counsel met in open court

A case management conference was held.

The Court granted the Plaintiffs' application for admission pro hac vice of Edwin Grauke. The Court signed the order in open court. The Court denied Defendants State Board of Chiropractic Examiners and California Acupuncture Board demurrers to 2nd amended complaint. The Court denied Defendant California Acupuncture Board's motion requesting judicial notice.

The Court extended time for Defendant Counsel on Chiropractic Education, Inc. until 12/1/03 to answer.

The Court set date of 1/28/04 at 2pm to hear any motions for summary judgments. The Court recessed the matter until 12/17/03 at 2pm for case management conference and the statements are due by 12/10/03 to Dept. 502.